

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.Nos.23013, 23014, 23015 & 23016 of 2007

COMMON ORDER:

-

In all these writ petitions, common questions of law and fact arise for consideration, therefore, they are being disposed of by this common order.

It is the contention of the petitioners that they are Diploma Holders in Civil Engineering and they have been working as Work Inspectors in the second respondent Corporation for the last 20 years. While that being so, some allegations were made against them stating that they have committed certain irregularities while implementing Indira Awas Yojana Scheme along with others. Therefore, Government directed an enquiry to be conducted into the allegations made against them. It appears, the enquiry officer had conducted an enquiry and found that absolutely there was no evidence against the petitioners and therefore recommended for dropping of further action. In fact this information was already submitted by the Managing Director of the Corporation to the Principal Secretary to Government, Housing Department, A.P., Hyderabad vide proceedings dated 3.1.2007.

Now the grievance of the petitioners is that the Government vide G.O.Rt.No.160 Housing (RH1) Department dated 9.6.2006 delegated recording powers of Assistant Engineers to all Technical Work Inspectors of the Corporation who are possessing LCE/Degree qualification and subsequently the said benefit was also extended to non-technical work inspectors subject to certain conditions. The work inspectors who are far juniors to the petitioners are entrusted with higher responsibilities, but the petitioners are being treated shabbily on the ground of pendency of

disciplinary proceedings against them.

From the above, it is clear that insofar as petitioners are concerned, the enquiry officer found that there was nothing against them and recommended to drop further action and the same was communicated to the Secretary to Government of A.P., but till date, no action has been taken. Unless and until, the action proposed is dropped, petitioners may not be entrusted with higher responsibilities as per their status.

Under those circumstances, the writ petitions are disposed of directing the Respondents to take immediate action on the report purported to have been submitted by the enquiry officer holding the petitioners not guilty and pass appropriate orders within a period of (8) weeks from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the same to the petitioners. No order as to costs.

C.V.RAMULU, J

Date: 22.11.2007

DA

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.Nos.23013, 23014, 23015 & 23016 of 2007

22.11.2007

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P.Nos.23013, 23014, 23015 & 23016 of 2007

Date: 22nd November, 2007

Between:

C.Basaweshwar

Petitioner in W.P.No.23013 of 2007

Y.Subash

Petitioner in W.P.No.23014 of 2007

G.Ranga Reddy

Petitioner in W.P.No.23015 of 2007

S.Sampath Kumar

Petitioner in W.P.No.23016 of 2007

.. Petitioners

And

Government of Andhra Pradesh,
represented by Principal Secretary to
Government, Housing Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & another.

.. Respondents